

**Beatty Town Advisory Board
100 A Avenue South
P.O. Box 837
Beatty, NV 89003**

**Minutes
05/01/17**

**THE BEATTY TOWN ADVISORY BOARD MET IN REGULAR SESSION AT 6:30PM IN THE BEATTY COMMUNITY CENTER.
THE BEATTY TOWN ADVISORY BOARD HEREAFTER WILL BE REFERRED TO AS THE (BTAB)
THE BEATTY TOWN ADVISORY BOARD MEMBERS HEREAFTER WILL BE REFERRED TO AS THEIR RESPECTIVE INITIALS.**

Nye County is an Equal Opportunity Employer and Provider
Communication for hearing Impaired: Dial Nevada Relay Service 711 or for Voice to TTY (800) 326-6888 or
TTY to Voice (800) 326-6868

**Dick Gardner (DG) – Chair
Kelly Carroll (KC) – Vice Chair - Absent
Erika Gerling (EG) – Treasurer
Randy Reed (RR) – Member
Crystal Taylor (CT) – Member**

1 Pledge of Allegiance

Meeting called to order 6:30pm

DG – Stated for the record KC is not here

2 GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT (Three-minute time limit per person.) Action will not be taken on the matters considered during this period until specifically included on an agenda as an action item.

None

3 Approval of the Agenda for the BTAB meeting of May 1, 2017.

Staff – Pulled item 4 emergency items and item 6 consent agenda items

4 For Possible Action – Emergency Items

Item pulled

5 Board Member's Comments (This item limited to announcements or topics/issues proposed for future workshops/agendas)

EG – None; CT – None; DG- None

RR – Stated I was told today that there were three more places in town have been, broken into hit this week, private residents.

6 Consent Agenda Items

a. Action – Discussion of any item from the Consent Agenda Items that needs review before a decision is made.

b. Approval of Town Vouchers

Item pulled

7 General Business

a. For Possible Action – Discussion, deliberation and decision to 1) approve a Beatty FY17 and or FY18 Sheriff PSST spending plan, components of or as a whole and 2) submit to Sheriff Wehrly and to the Board of County Commissioners for final approval and all matters pertaining thereto. – Dick Gardner

DG – Stated before we go any further Carrie wanted to say something.

Carrie Radomski; Town Secretary – Stated I spoke with Lorinda Wichman this afternoon and the Board of County Commissioners are pulling the Sheriff's PSST Beatty item off their agenda tomorrow so it will not be heard. It's being pulled to verify the calculations that were used in it and to make sure that everything is proper with the plan.

EG – Stated I think that's good news that the Commissioners heard our plea and are pulling the item to approve our part of the Sheriff's spending plan. I think that is good news. With that with your permission I'd like to go through a few things. What the Public Safety Sales Tax (PSST) is, what it can be used for. The PSST can only spent on new equipment and new positions. If a new position is going to be paid for from this money, the annual (revenue) must be enough to support that position; the pay, benefits, and increases for about five years out because every year those go up. So the Sheriff is in kind of a restricted position on how the money can be used. We've been, not just us, we've been accumulating these funds since 2014. On an annual basis we estimate between \$25,000.00 and \$33,000.00 per year. That is not enough to hire a Deputy, a salary for a Deputy. Based on the information that we can find the lowest salary and benefits that we can find is about \$61,000.00 and the highest is about \$92,000.00. So the Sheriff can't use our \$33,000.00 that we're getting annually to pay a deputy. The money that we have saved up cannot be used to supplement the revenue for a new position; Discussion followed.

Audience – Asked so this is a Beatty problem, does Armargosa have the same issue?

EG – Asked Carrie Radomski; Town Secretary to explain the Southern Area Command

Carrie Radomski; Town Secretary – Stated each community gets a percentage of the Public Safety Sales Tax and that's based on their population in the County.

Audience – Asked so can Armargosa and Beatty pool their resources to half time a deputy in this area?

Ms. Radomski – Stated well they wouldn't half time because Armargosa actually gets more so it would be a little bit less than that and that's been explored. Based on the revenue projections between the two communities there was not enough to support the \$61,000.00 plus a five year outreach on raises and benefits. All of that being said the Board still wants the opportunity to sit down with the Sheriff and other departments and see what they can do. It has to be a new position; the Sheriff says we have five deputies, Allen transferred so now we're down to four. Even if we could get Beatty and Armargosa and let's say Manhattan or another community to jump in, she can't use the PSST money until she hires that fifth person. The PSST would have to be used for the 6th. For those of you that weren't here at the last meeting she (Sheriff Wehrly) indicated she has thirteen open positions, she had forty applicants and only three qualified, so there's that challenge as well. The PSST money is really restrictive in how it can be used, the monies that have been saved so far really the only option we have for those are capital type improvements or equipment type purchases because we can't use it for salary augmentation.

Audience – Clarified, so the money that she has in Beatty has no bearing on the people that she can hire.

EG – Stated she can't supplement anything, she can only add to.

Ms. Radomski – Clarified the question, so you're saying all of the money that she asked for for all of this stuff, she can't hire a person?

Audience- Replied yes.

Ms. Radomski – Stated she cannot. We have basically, what you have to think about is we have \$33,000.00 roughly. Somewhere between \$25,000.00 and \$33,000.00 the number goes up and down, with the amount of sales tax revenue that's collected. So if we have a slow year and there are not a lot of tourists it's not going to be \$33,000.00, if we have an up year it's going to be more. But what you have to think about is we have about \$33,000.00 to spend a year on salary, that's about it. Unless we do what you are suggesting and combine with another community, it's not dead yet.

Ms. Marchand – Clarified, okay, so combined with Amargosa and then also use some of those funds that have been saved for a new deputy?

Ms. Radomski – Stated you cannot use the money that has been saved. You have to have enough money coming in annually to cover the salary and benefits and wage increases five years out.

Unidentified audience – Stated but if we were fiscally responsible to save that money and we want to put it towards a deputy...

BTAB Minutes 05/01/17

Ms. Radomski – Stated it's the way the law is written that money cannot be used that way unfortunately.

Ms. Marchand – Stated especially since it was not available for the first how many years?

Ms. Radomski – Stated it passed in 2014.

Ms. Marchand – Stated right and then the first two years there was that question as to how it could be spent and where it could be spent. Then they're saying now you can't use it on a Deputy?

Ms. Radomski – Stated right. Even at that point we still would have had \$25,000.00 annually to use, to pay for a deputy, that's the challenge.

EG – Stated, let me interject one thing here. This is a down the line future thing, something that we need to do, is visit as a Community, as a County with the District Attorney, is revisit this ordinance because it's very, very complicated and it doesn't make it easy to use the funds that you collect. So, \$33,000.00 a year is what we get but it makes it so hard to use those funds for the things that you really need or want. But that's not a thing that we can resolve tonight, I just want to let you guys know that, and that's something that I'm committed to trying to look at but we can't do anything about that tonight.

Ms. Marchand- Asked, so each year how is it split?

EG – Stated we don't have to worry about that split.

Audience – Stated yes we do.

Ms. Radomski – Clarified what's the question, how is what split?

Ms. Marchand – Stated you're saying that there's \$33,000.00...

EG – Stated that's our part.

Ms. Marchand – Went on, okay, that's collected but then you're saying....

EG – Stated the Fire Department gets approximately \$33,000.00 and the Sheriff's Office gets \$33,000.00. It's one half of one percent split.

Ms. Radomski – Stated it's not one half of one percent; it's two percent of the population.

EG – Stated, no the tax.

DG – Stated the tax is a half a cent and then its split on a percentage between all of the different communities based on their population percentage.

Ms. Marchand – Stated okay and I understand that but the way it was presented last week was, or maybe the way I understood it...

EG – Stated 2.2 is what you're talking about.

Ms. Marchand – Continued, the way I understood it was a certain percentage of it could be spent for employment. That's why she wanted to bring in the Admin Tech and a certain percentage had to be spent on items.

EG – DG – Stated no.

Ms. Marchand – Asked so how is it broken down?

Ms. Radomski – Stated it's not broken down. The reason she was using the Admin Tech, the reason the proposal for Admin Tech was because the Admin Tech's salary was affordable within the \$33,000.00. They are about \$24,000.00 or \$25,000.00 so that would give her enough money to place a person there and then cover the salary/benefit increases over about five years.

Unidentified Audience – Asked where would the person work?

Ms. Radomski – Stated one of the proposals was for a part time Admin Tech up at the Beatty Substation. That has been removed from the proposal entirely.

EG – Stated also, it's a new position, it's not replacing something.

Ms. Marchand – Stated I understand that. We need somebody at night, we have nobody at night. Okay, so the other...

DG – Stated they wouldn't be there at night, you're talking about a part time.

Ms. Marchand – Clarified, I didn't mean up at the Sheriff's office, I mean a Deputy.

DG – Agreed, yeah we know that.

Ms. Marchand – Went on, so my other question is, you're saying that there's how much money in the kitty that's been accumulating for the last... \$95,000.00?

EG – Stated yeah.

BTAB Minutes 05/01/17

Ms. Marchand – Asked what can that be used on?

Ms. Radomski – Stated the \$95,000.00 can be used on Capital improvements or equipment.

Ms. Marchand – Stated okay, instead of her using part of the \$30,000.00 that we're getting for equipment, why don't we take the money for equipment out of the \$95,000.00?

DG – Stated that's where it's coming from.

Ms. Radomski – Stated her whole proposal for the equipment would basically use up all of the money that had been accumulated since the tax was passed and collected. The Admin position is what would have used the revenue coming in this year, that \$33,000.00; it would have used that revenue. That's why the Admin was under FY 2018, so her plan that had all of that stuff was going to use up all of that money that was saved.

DG – Stated when I went to the Armargosa Town Board Meeting Thursday, they were having the same thing, of course they're a Town Board, their figures were something like \$78,000.00, I might be wrong, accumulated and one of the Board members made the comment; are you going to take it all? The Sheriff said yeah, we're going to take it all and use it for stuff throughout the whole County. I think at the meeting last week we were under the impression, why do we want to buy, say five tasers here and they're going to buy five down in Armargosa? Well, it's percentage for the whole, no their not having five here and five in Armargosa, it's just part of the bundle for the whole County. They brought some of those same comments up. I'll say this, when she gave her proposal down in Armargosa, it was a lot different; her approach was different than it was here. She had a friendly audience, there were about twenty people in the audience and it didn't hurt that when they were getting ready to vote, Frank Carbone, prior County Commissioner, got up and talked and he's come to enough board meetings that he knew all of the members and he was talking to them on an individual name basis and then the next thing you know it was passed 5-0. If I was betting it would have been a 3-2, 2-3 split but they fell right in line with everybody else. The only Board in the whole Nye County that went against it was us. So, I was very disappointed when I saw the thing on the agenda (BoCC) but Richard told me they can go right by us, well I was really bummed out about that because what good is it to pass a (ordinance) saying that you let the local (Board/Government) take care of it and then we don't vote the way they want, so then they go right on up to the County Commissioners. Well, maybe were vindicated, they pulled it so they could look at it again, and maybe some of the numbers. We'll probably end up with the same results and as far as a lot of this stuff that's on the list that they want, it's probably equipment that they need. We don't want any of our guys to get into a fight and not be properly taken care of; we want them to all come back. Now there are some things that we were going to talk about and we will talk about tonight. I just... it was a whole different meeting down in Armargosa than it was here.

CT – Stated I think she was more prepared for Armargosa after being here.

EG – Stated let's just get up to date okay? So the plan that she presented to us, she's modified that, what she's going to present to the Commissioners tomorrow, as far as we know.

Ms. Radomski – Stated the item is pulled.

EG – Stated oh, it's pulled... the whole thing is pulled, how'd I miss that? Okay well that's great. Okay, well then I'll skip down a couple of paragraphs, that gives us time to hopefully get with the Sheriff and whatever representative if she wants, the County, our neighbors, so that makes me happy. You said that? They pulled the whole thing? Oh, my god, and I didn't know that before I came here tonight, so I've spent a lot of time preparing notes and everything to be, to have the answers for you guys.

Ms. Marchand – Stated one of the suggestions I'd like to see the Board put forward is have they done a job fair or anything like that with the High School kids? Because you know what, she said that she had three people pass the background check. Most of those kids at the High School are getting ready to graduate, there shouldn't be anything on their background, a lot of them, if they were to walk in the door and say hey, this is how much you could make a year, this is what the job description is, you could be someone. I mean, have they thought about going to the school and approaching these kids because they might want to stay in Beatty and Armargosa.

EG – Stated, Ann, you may remember this. A year or so ago in the small room we had this very same discussion and we ask the Sheriff to give us the requirements, how old people had to be and to give us some flyers so we could start recruiting local people and let local people know and we never received any of those things. So, yes we have tried.

Ms. Radomski – Stated we set up for the college fair that was here, and they were here, the Nye County Sheriff's Department was here, and we have tried and I raised it with them again.

Ms. Marchand – Stated I don't understand why the kids wouldn't go for it. I thought they might want to stay here.

EG – Stated, there are kids that do. Okay, so let's just back up for a second. So, it's been pulled, everything for Beatty, it doesn't mean that everything else is not moving forward.

BTAB Minutes 05/01/17

Ms. Radomski – Stated the Board of County Commissioners have pulled the item and they are looking at the entire proposal and they are verifying the calculations for the entire proposal and making sure everything is proper. So I guess what that would mean is that everything is done in accordance with the ordinance, that it will pass Department of Taxation requirements, the reporting, and they are going to double check the numbers for each community for revenues and expenditures.

DG – Stated, you would think that they would pull the whole thing.

Ms. Radomski – Stated their pulling Beatty's item because it's on there, their pulling the whole project and looking at the whole project. In April the Board of County Commissioners approved Manhattan's plan, Pahrump's plan, Tonopah approved theirs independently before the Sheriff came to us. On April 4th the County approved the County plan for the 1% of the communities that are kind of lumped together. So all of the plans for all of communities had been approved with the exception of Beatty and Armargosa, they were the last two.

DG – Stated the whole purpose of the agenda item tonight is to come up with an option to submit to the County Commissioners for approval. The Board in front of you, you have about six different proposals. Taking some out, adding some, changing them around of course this last thing kind of screwed everything up. The best thing to do is go line item by line item and see where we're at.

EG – Suggested lets all say yes or no, something like that.

CT – Asked, Carrie were you able to get the hard numbers on each item that we had discussed.

Ms. Radomski – Replied no ma'am.

DG – Stated no one has sent that in yet. First one was swat gear, everybody agree on that one?

Audience – Stated before we start down this list keep in mind you've got to have a deputy to give it to.

DG – Stated a lot of this is a percentage throughout the whole county and this is stuff that outfits basically all of the deputies. Regardless we still don't have enough to do a deputy here.

EG – Stated so we have a guy here and he's equipped and he goes on vacation and somebody's going to rotate in for him. That person will have the same gear that he had.

Audience – Stated I understand.

EG – Stated we've got to start this group think, we've got to start really trying to share.

DG – Stated Patrol Active Shooter, what the heck is that?

RR – Stated it's a bullet resistant vest.

DG – Stated oh okay. Out in Armargosa they went out and got a vest and passed it around. That son of a gun must weigh 35 pounds. I don't know how these guys walk around with it.

DG – Stated, scheduling software. Again, when you look at all of the other, maybe it would be easier to go down to the things that we don't want to see in it (the proposal). My biggest complaint was the two patrol vehicles. Why do we want to pay for two patrol vehicles, I keep saying I'm going to go by the substation up here but there's always one sitting back in there. I just don't see... I think they wanted to get one, or maybe it was two for Amargosa and it's just ridiculous on that.

EG – Stated let's stick with what we don't want to do, right?

Ms. Marchand – Stated especially with the price of those, would they just use those for auxiliary vehicles, or what?

DG – Stated that was a question that was brought up. In fact you know we talked about seeing deputies here, they had an auxiliary member on the Board down there (Armargosa) and she said I'm in the auxiliary and I never see a sheriff's deputy down here.

EG – Stated on the patrol vehicles that would in addition to what we have, so in addition to whatever we have now the Sheriff's Office wants to get two additional and I agree we don't know, I'm in agreement let's take one off until we know what the number and the condition of the ones we have are.

RR – Agreed.

DG – Stated or take them off completely.

EG – Asked does that make sense?

RR – Stated yes.

DG – Stated none of it makes sense.

EG – Stated I would like to know the mileage, the years, etc.

CT – Added and it's not to replace it's in addition to, so why do we need two more vehicles if we don't have the deputies to put behind the wheel?

RR – Agreed.

BTAB Minutes 05/01/17

EG – Stated very good CT.

DG – Stated once again, on that particular thing I think they're buying them for the County not just for ourselves.

Ms. Marchand – Stated to go someplace else.

RR – Stated yeah, paying for it to go someplace else.

CT – Stated that's my biggest issue with these numbers. I want our stuff to stay in Beatty.

EG – Stated the Sheriff said at our meeting that those vehicles would be for the Beatty fleet that is what she said.

Ms. Marchand – Stated but we still don't have people to drive them.

Audience – Asked didn't somebody say earlier that they were down thirteen deputies in Nye County?

RR – Stated yes.

Audience – Stated so we'll buy two more patrol cars.

Audience – Stated they could park them on the hill and people would see it and slow down.

Ms. Marchand – Stated that doesn't mean that they don't want to hire thirteen more deputies; they can't get them to pass the background checks.

EG – Stated but we could save that money until we get those deputies.

Richard Stephens – Stated we don't know. We don't know whether she needs them or not, the vehicles because that's not our department. We don't run it; we're not intimately involved with it she is.

DG – Stated I understand but we've tried...

EG – Stated but we do know what she has said.

Mr. Stephens – Stated we know what she said, we don't know the thinking behind it.

DG – Stated we've also tried, Richard, to on a lot of this, the dash cameras, body cameras and such, tasers. We've tried to get a cost per each just to get an idea but the best that we can come up with is this is being spread around the County.

Mr. Stephens – Stated personally what I liked about the body cameras and the telecommunications equipment and so on, there were people in the audience last time we raised the issue of deputies sitting at the intersection or being north on the highway or wherever and she said kind in passing it sounded like a supervision problem. Later on she said if she has that equipment she can watch, she can see through their body cameras where they are and what they're doing. That would increase the supervision.

CT – Stated so, Richard, that's where I totally agree with you and I agree with the Sheriff on that certain aspect. For me personally if she's only going to give us five deputies, I personally, want to buy five body cameras, five computers to put in the five cars that are taking care of our area. That's one of the reasons that we asked for the hard numbers to say okay how much is each computer? If each computer costs this much I don't want to fund Pahrump because Pahrump is getting 82%. I want to take care of Beatty; this is where we need to be taken care of.

Audience – When are they giving those hard numbers out?

CT – Stated their not, we don't have them.

DG – Stated our share is 2.2% of the total.

EG – Stated you guys gotta remember this too okay? We don't only just live in our little bubble of Beatty, right? This is our County, I'm proud of our County, I'm proud of our people but this is my community. Our contribution to having every deputy in this County properly outfitted is 2.2%, which is what our percentage of the PSST is. It's 2.2% and I get what CT is saying. I don't want to buy 10 body cams when I'm going to be outfitting 5 guys but we have to look at it on a countywide basis you guys. Suppose we say no, we're only going to pay for 5 and then our guy goes on vacation and we have to have somebody come up from Pahrump and then he doesn't have the proper equipment.

DG – Stated I don't believe that.

EG – Replied, I'm just saying, I really think that we need look at it. That we as a part of this Nye County Community, we should, on this equipment stuff look to pay our 2.2% and equip every deputy in the County with what they need.

Ms. Marchand – Stated so eighty something percent times whatever is how much money that Pahrump's going to have?

EG – Stated I don't know what Pahrump's percentage is it is eighty something.

BTAB Minutes 05/01/17

Audience – Stated I have no problem with the 2.2% for Beatty. I mean we equip based on our percentage but when the hiring comes down and we're authorized the two officers that are going to control our district, or the two dispatchers that are going to dispatch our emergency services, we should have them. If we're not going to get them funded by the County, we've already been down a dispatcher for how many months now? If we're not funded by the County why are we paying the money to fund the organization if they're not going to give us the people that we employ? It's not personnel it's equipment, right? I understand that but what I'm saying if we're going to give them the equipment we should be at least be given the opportunity to have our own personnel to support us.

EG – Stated but that's the whole thing, this issue that we're talking about tonight, the Public Safety Sales Tax, is not enough money if we want to hire a deputy, the money that we get annually from this PSST has to be able to pay that salary every year and it's not. If we were to even go in with Armargosa, and it's a proportional thing, the Sheriff says that we still would be short of the money to pay a deputy. So Beatty and Armargosa together would be short. So what Beatty has to think, okay we've got \$33,000.00 a year that we can spend on whatever we're going to spend it on but once we commit to say an admin person or whatever that's a reoccurring expense.

Audience – Stated maybe the County can help us with that.

DG – Stated they don't have the money.

Audience – Asked the County won't help us with the expense of hiring a deputy?

DG – Stated no.

Audience – Stated okay, so we're going to put our money to the County for equipment and get equipment that would be nice to have if we had the deputy, but we don't have the deputy but we'll get the equipment for Nye County but we don't have the money to hire our own deputy?

EG – Stated let me say one more thing and then I'll be quiet. So for fiscal year 17 which we're in right now, which ends on June 30th around a month away the Sheriff wants to buy this equipment and that is a percentage of the County and I don't have a problem with most of that stuff. She has modified her plan for Beatty and everything's been pulled, Carrie said, so for fiscal year... let's just say for fiscal year 18 we would have \$33,000.00 Armargosa would have whatever they have, we're still short of hiring a deputy, and to hire a deputy we have to be able to pay that every year going forward with increases. This money is not enough to do that.

Audience – Stated okay, let's go down the equipment list.

DG – Stated so, this is CT's favorite, jail medical contract with the padded cell.

Audience – Asked the jail in Beatty?

RR – Replied no, Pahrump.

DG – Stated this is in Pahrump. This is our portion of the bill. To me it's...

RR – Stated I don't think we should be paying for that.

CT – Stated I think that is a County issue period, not a town issue.

EG – Stated you guys, you know what? I think that is the least of our problems.

DG – Stated well we are just going down the list.

EG – Asked so can we vote on each one? So we know what to do.

DG – Replied right now we're just going down and everybody is giving their approval or disapproval.

Ms. Radomski – Asked can I just double check really quick?

DG – Replied go ahead.

Ms. Radomski – Asked, SWAT Gear?

DG; CT; RR; EG – Replied yes.

Ms. Radomski – Asked, the vests?

DG; EG; RR – Replied yes.

CT – Stated that's where I say by deputy. The swat gear and the vests and the terminals are by deputy that we have in town and the body cams.

DG – Stated well basically if we do it that way...

CT – Stated there's a section that's individual; that I would say if we have five deputies, let them have their SWAT gear for five deputies, the active, which is different than the... so personally, SWAT gear yes, by deputy on the patrol active shooter. On the terminals, the computers for the cars, the tasers, the body cams, and the dash cams.

BTAB Minutes 05/01/17

DG – Clarified, tasers you said?

CT – Replied yes.

DG – Stated okay, we need to find out what these costs are per each.

EG – Stated but that's the problem. I think that you're going to find out, if you look at swat gear, its \$1,144.94 that's supposed to be for five guys, I'm saying if that was for five guys there's no way. That is our 2.2% of the overall cost of that equipment for all of the officers in the County. Do you see what I'm saying? So we end up better off with our 2.2%. Well, we think because we don't know what the overall cost is, but I would say if you were going to say that \$1,100.00 was going to provide SWAT gear for five guys, I don't think that's a good number.

DG – Stated it says right here that it's based on a percentage.

CT – Stated and that SWAT team is not based in Beatty. That SWAT team is based in Pahrump and they are called up and they've had maybe two issues in the last twelve months. So we're funding something that isn't even really used in Beatty and I'm not against it the percentage on that particular item. For the individual people that we are paying for, we should pay for the five deputies that we have in town.

EG – Stated, okay so you don't... I'm okay with the SWAT gear.

Mr. Stephens – Stated, Crystal, those five deputies, you're thinking of them as five separate people. We've only got one that's George that's here. The rest of them they're from Pahrump, they can rotate and it's not the same people all the time.

CT – Replied, George is always going to be the same person, Deputy Baird is the same person, Deputy Scherer is the same person, and Deputy Sweet is the same person. Those are the four out of the five, because they did move Allen up to Round Mountain. Those are the four out of the five that are consistently watching Central Area, thus being Sarcobatus Flats down to Crystal. Those are the four Deputies that we have in town active right now. You will always see them, the only time you won't see Sweet or George is when they are on active duty or on their weekends with the reserves, vacations, and whatever the case may be. But the funding that we're funding, we're saying okay five for this but we share our deputies with Armargosa, so in all actuality we could point this down to being 2.5 because we only have two and a half and Armargosa has two and a half.

Mr. Stephens – Asked if you don't want to use it for that? What are you going to use it for?

CT – Stated there are different things that they could be funding. They could put more cameras out there to watch our area. Perhaps we can approach them for a new deputy that can watch our area part time.

Ms. Radomski – Stated but that money cannot be used for a deputy, which is in reserve.

CT – Stated good point.

Audience – Asked are we being backed up when George or his friend (Deputy Sweet) goes on their... we're not being backed up but we should be from the County because according to the Government their supposed to pay his wages while he's deployed in..

CT – Stated, when George goes off, yes, we have another deputy that does come up. Yes, they're not going to leave us 100% not taken care of. When you said that and I was nodding my head, my head goes to when they are transporting from here into Pahrump, we don't have anybody for the two to four hour span during that time, we do not. When our deputy leaves here to arrest somebody they take them down to Pahrump we are not covered for that time.

EG – Stated that's not what the Sheriff told us. She told us that they have dedicated transport people.

CT – Stated I know that not to be completely true.

EG – Stated I cannot sit out there on the highway. I know when I come home from Pahrump and the guy's sitting on 160 and HWY95, I'm wondering what the heck is that guy doing there? That's all I know. Here's where we are right now. The Board of County Commissioners has pulled this item until, I guess they talk to everybody and come up with a resolution. There are things on this list of equipment that I support; there are some things that I don't support because I don't know enough about them.

DG – Stated that's what we are trying to do.

Ms. Marchand – Stated I do have a question though. On this equipment is this only going to be used for new deputies or can this equipment be used by the existing.

Ms. Radomski – Stated that is for the entire County, the understanding of the proposal that the Sheriff put forward, which is technically a countywide proposal for the entire department, it's for all of the deputies serving in Nye County. I'm not sure if it includes the thirteen that she's short or not. We're going to try and get that answer. That would outfit everybody that's working in Nye County right now.

EG – Stated this is stuff they don't have, right now.

BTAB Minutes 05/01/17

Ms. Marchand – Stated one of the things that I'm looking at here is that if we have five deputies here in Beatty, supposedly, SWAT gear \$1,144.00 that seems pretty low to me.

RR – Stated that's just a percentage; discussion followed.

DG – Stated going back to look at this we don't know what they're going to present, we don't know what's going to happen. Is this an exercise in futility, right now?

Ms. Radomski – Stated it may be.

Audience – Stated I don't think there's a hurry to spend the money, just sit on it.

Ms. Radomski – Stated my impression is that you are going to see something similar to this come back you're just going to see the numbers corrected. Based on your meeting you certainly could direct staff that in order for you to move forward you do want to see the project total, the total amount of monies being spent throughout the County and verify that it is being allocated per community and that you would also like to see the per item cost for the individual items, you can certainly make a recommendation to staff for that. You can make a recommendation for staff to get additional information about the installation of the emergency operations command center.

CT – Stated which is another thing because we as a town and the emergency services has already paid for that with the new ambulance hall and they have an entire emergency operations center there and to open a second one is..

Ms. Radomski – Stated while they are in this process we might have the perfect opportunity to get the clarification on these items, to your point the additional vehicles and anything else in there that you identify that you want me to.

RR – Stated lets finish going through this list.

DG – Stated I was going to say lets table this until we get that information, the per item costs, the new plan.

Ms. Radomski – Stated make the motion, if that's what you would like to direct staff to do. Do you guys want to finish going through the list?

RR – Stated yeah I'd like to do that, finish this list. We're half way through it.

DG – Stated I don't think that.. we already want to know what the per item costs are for some of those items. I think that some of the electronic stuff and the wireless devices we need, but once again my big deal was those cars especially when it's \$10,000.00.

EG – Stated here's what I'd like to do Mr. Chairman, I would like us because the commissioners are meeting tomorrow and I know that they have pulled these items from their agenda but I would like to offer some form of support comment whatever at their meeting so the commissioners have some idea. My suggestion is this, the things that we can support, in public comment to make a comment that on the equipment things the things that we support. That we do not necessarily not support the other items but we need more information. The items for more information would be the vehicles, the installation of emergency operations command center, that's my two big issues, the vehicles and turning this thing into an emergency operations command center because I don't know what that is. The rest of the items I would support because I want everybody that's protecting us to have what they need. Wherever their coming from in the County.

DG – Stated I would support that; Discussion followed.

EG – Stated we have a lot of work to do to try and get the County to rework that ordinance, that's what's going to make a difference and that's not something that we can do right away.

Audience – Asked is our fiscal year July 1st?

RR – Stated yes.

Audience – Stated okay, does anybody realize that this is money from last year's budget and not the future?

RR – Stated yes.

EG – Stated of course we realize that. It's been accumulating for three years.

DG – Asked RR for comments.

RR – Stated the only thing up there that I don't really like, that camera system must be for Sheriff's Office, it's already got one. Why do we need another camera system at the Sheriff's Office there's cameras inside and outside that building. There's a camera on a pole up there that can zoom into just about any place in town. That's the one for \$10,000.00.

Ms. Radomski- Clarified so you want additional information on that.

RR – Stated yes, is it old and obsolete and worn out or what?

EG – Stated the number and condition of the current fleet, the enhancements to the current surveillance system and what is an emergency command center and how are we going to use it and what is it for?

EG – Asked did we agree on the things on the list?

DG – Stated I don't know that we agreed on anything. It's hard to pick out some stuff that you want to get individual... I can see the tasers and cameras and such like that. Once again I don't want to see our guys going into any kind of conflict and not having the best of what they can have. It's scary out there to carry a badge, just look at the news but I do agree that we do need some information and at least the prices on some of that stuff. If the County Commissioners are going to pull it, it'll be another two weeks before it comes up.

EG – Stated that's an opportunity. All of this money has been sitting in all of these communities waiting for three years for a plan. So why not take a couple more months to come up with plans or options or workshops for hashing it out to come up with solutions that are better and more acceptable to the community. Maybe when things get explained, then we'll go, you know maybe halfway through fiscal year 18 we'll go you know what we do want an Admin Tech 1, we don't know, but we have to talk these things through, see what all of our options are and we need to have these conversations with the Sheriff and the County.

DG – Asked are you going to make a motion?

EG – Stated I was going to.

DG – Asked why don't you? And went on, I really don't think anything is going to get accomplished tonight as far as one thing or another because we don't have all of the figures...

CT – Stated I do want to say that it is not for lack of support for our deputies or our Sheriff's Department, or anything else. My concern right now, just as Pahrump and Tonopah, I'll say Pahrump ahead of everything else, we closed our jail, we lost deputies, we lost a lot of things. Now we have to pay to get them back or for their services. If we could pay to open our jail back up and put an admin in to take care of a person that's in jail for twenty-four hours then it would be beneficial to spend that money in that aspect in our community. We have lost so much in our community because we're smaller, we don't get the amount of calls, we don't have this and that. My concern, I'm sorry, is our community it doesn't mean that I don't care about every single deputy or every single police officer that's out there that may be hurt in the line of duty. This is our community, this is where my concern is and that's why I say five, that's why I want to make sure that Beatty is equipped. That we're not spending money to find out that a past fingerprinting machine or excuse me a past breathalyzer machine that was brought up to Beatty will not or has not already been transferred down to Pahrump like in the past. There's been much equipment that has been purchased for this town that is sitting in Pahrump. That's where my concern is, if we are paying for it in Beatty I want it in Beatty.

EG – Stated and I totally agree with you CT. What I would like to suggest is that tomorrow at the Board of County Commissioners meeting that we can say that the Advisory Board is in support of the FY17 equipment purchase, but just to move this ball along, because now everything is halted; Discussion followed.

CT – Motion to request from the Sheriff's Department as well as the Board of County Commissioners the hard numbers of the items located on the fiscal year 17 proposal for further discussion to be put on another agenda item after the information has been given; Second RR; 4-0

8 General Public Comment

Audience – Stated I've only lived in Beatty for two years, I don't normally come to these meetings. Luckily I was able to get what is put out as the agenda for these meetings. It's nice to get the agenda because people need to be informed like us and know what's going on in their community. I have here the Nye County information form that I picked up in the back of the room. If you go through it you see what the spending proposal, you don't get that information on the agenda.

Ms. Radomski – Stated that's called back up and you just have to request it.

Audience – Stated what I'm saying is as citizens of Beatty, Nevada Nye County we're coming in to discuss we need to know these things; Discussion followed.

9 Adjournment

RR – Motion to adjourn at 7:38pm; Second CT; 4-0